## Exhibit "D"

## CITY OF CORNELIUS LWI COVER SHEET

| Wetland Identification: TD-3 | Date of Field Verification: May 8, 2002 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Investigators: KV, JG (DSL) | Size (acres): @ 0.30 |
| Data Sheet: TD-3-1 | Cowardin Classification: PEM |
|  | HGM Classification: Atypical Wetland |

## LOCATION

Map \# 1S3-04BB Tax Lot \# 100, 200, 300
Other: $\quad$ North of Union Pacific Railroad tracks; east of S. $1^{\text {st }}$ Avenue
Basin: Tualatin River

## QUALITY

OAR 141-086-0185 states that a wetland function and condition assessment using the Oregon Freshwater Wetland Assessment Methodology (OFWAM) shall be conducted to determine the quality and significance of the wetland. OFWAM results for TD-3 indicate it does not satisfies the Locally Significant Wetland Criteria identified in OAR 141-86-350.

Soils: Mapped Series: Verboort Silty Clay Loam
Hydrology: Hydrologic Source: Surface Flow
Dominant Wetland Vegetation

| TREES | SHRUBS | VINES | HERBS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Salix sitchensis |  | Juncus effisus |
|  | Salix lasiandra |  | Phalaris arundinacea |
|  | Rubus discolor |  | Carex densa |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## QUANTITY

The drainage originates in the City of Forest Grove and is immediately altered by road and railroad track construction as it enters the City of Cornelius. This isolated wetland area is less than five (5) acres in size and does not appear to connect to another water body or wetland.

## COMMENTS

The source of this drainage ditch is in the City of Forest Grove. The drainage ditch flows southwest into Cornelius and follows along the north side of the Union Pacific railroad tracks.

## Wetland Classifications Codes:

PEM - Palustrine Emergent PFO - Palustrine Forested PSS - Palustrine Scrub-Shrub PUB - Palustrine Unconslidated Bottom

# CITY OF CORNELIUS <br> WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM 

| Project: City of Cornelius | Site: TD-3 | Plot: TD-3-1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Investigators: KV, JG (DSL) | Watershed: Tualatin River | Date: May 8, 2002 |
| County: Washington | Township/Range: 1S 3W | Section: 04BB |

VEGETATION
Criteria Met: YES
Dominant Species

| Tree Stratum | Shrub Stratum |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Salix sitchensis FACW | $30 \%$ |  |
|  | Salix lasiandra FACW+ | $30 \%$ |  |
|  | Rubus discolor FACU | $30 \%$ |  |
| Percent of dominant species FAC, FACW, or OBL: | $\mathbf{4} / 4$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |

SOILS
Criteria Met: YES

| Mapped Series: <br> Classification: | Verboort Silty Clay Loam <br> Fine, mixed, mesic, typic Argialbolls | Hydric Soil List: <br> Drainage Class: | Yes <br> Poorly drained |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Depth (In.) | Matrix Color | Redox Concentrations Redox Depletions | Texture*/Structure |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-5$ | 10 YR 3/2 |  |  |  |
| $5-12$ | $10 Y R ~ 3 / 1$ | 7.5 YR $4 / 6$ | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{p}$ |  |

## Hydric Soil Indicators:

Redox feature w/10"
Gleyed/Low Chroma
Field Data:
Depth of Inundation: $\underline{6 \text { inches }}$ Depth of Saturation: surface Depth of Free Water:

## HYDROLOGY

Criteria Met: YES

## Primary Indicators

Inundated: Yes
Secondary Indicators
Oxidized Root Channels:
FAC-Neutral Test:
Saturated in Upper 12 Inches: Yes
Water Marks:
Drift Lines
Sediment Deposits:
COMMENTS: Drainge ditch has been modified by a local street and the railroad tracks. Rubus was rooted on the bank.

$\qquad$

## CITY OF CORNELIUS

OREGON FRESHWATER WETLAND ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

Date: May 8, 2002
Investigators: KV, JG (DSL)

Wetland Identification: TD-3

| Wildlife Habitat | Fish Habitat Streams | Fish Habitat Lakes/Ponds | Water Quality | Hydrologic Control |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1-C | Q1-C |  | Q1-A | Q1 - B |
| Q2-C | Q2-C |  | Q2-C | Q2-C |
| Q3-C | Q3 - C |  | Q3-A | Q3-B |
| Q4-C | Q4-A |  | Q4-B | Q4-A |
| Q5-B | Q5-C |  | Q5 - A | Q5-C |
| Q6-B | Q6-C |  | Q6-C | Q6 - A |
| Q7-A |  |  |  | Q7-A |
| Q8 - C |  |  |  |  |
| Q9 - C |  |  |  |  |


| Sensitivity to <br> Impact | Enhancement <br> Potential | Education | Recreation | Aesthetic <br> Quality |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q1-A | Q1-A | Q1-B | Q1-A | Q1-C |
| Q2-B | Q2-A | $\mathrm{Q} 2-\mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 2-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q} 3-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 3-\mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 3-\mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 3-\mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 3-\mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q} 4-\mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 4-\mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 4-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 4-\mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 4-\mathrm{B}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q} 5-\mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 5-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 5-\mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 5-\mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 5-\mathrm{B}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q} 6-\mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 6-\mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 6-\mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 6-\mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 6-\mathrm{B}$ |


| Wildlife Habitat | The wetland provides some wildlife habitat. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fish Habitat - Streams | The wetland's fish habitat function is impacted. |
| Fish Habitat - Lakes/Ponds |  |
| Water Quality | The wetland's water quality function is impacted/degraded. |
| Hydrologic Control | The wetland's hydrologic control function is impacted/degraded. |
| Sensitivity to Impact | The wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts. |
| Enhancement Potential | The wetland has moderate potential for enhancement. |
| Education | The wetland has potential for educational use. |
| Recreation | The wetland does provide recreation opportunities. |
| Aesthetic Quality | The wetland is considered moderately pleasing. |

# City of Cornelius Wetland Inventory Summary Sheet <br> Wetland Site: TD-3, UP Railroad Ditch 

| Function | Evaluation Descriptor | Rationale |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$|$| Wildlife Habitat | Provides some habitat for <br> wildlife. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fish Habitat | One Cowardin class present; emergent <br> vegetation is the dominant cover; <br> wetland is connected to what may be a <br> perennial stream; developed uses in the <br> form of a railroad track abut on the <br> wetland on the south. |
| Water Quality impacted. | Stream flows north to south; very little <br> stream-side shade; dominant use at <br> wetlands edge is RR Track; no fish <br> present. This is not a fish habitat/ |
| degraded. | Primary source of water is surface flow; <br> no evidence of ponding during growing <br> season; over 60\% of the wetland has <br> vegetation cover; wetland is between <br> 0.5 and 5 acres |
| Hydrologic <br> Control | Hydrology is impacted or <br> degraded. |
| None of the wetland is located in the <br> 100 yr floodplain; No evidence of <br> flooding during the growing season; <br> wetland area 0.5 - 5 acres; <br> emergent/wet meadow vegetation is <br> dominant cover; dominant downstream <br> uses are urban; dominant upstream use <br> is urban. |  |
| Sensitivity to <br> Future Impacts | Potentially sensitive to future <br> impacts. |
| The adjacent stream bank has been <br> modified by human activities; water is <br> not being taken out upstream; no <br> upstream/adjacent reaches are listed as <br> water quality limited; Commercial <br> development, a state highway and a <br> railroad line are within 500 feet of <br> wetland; emergent vegetation is the <br> dominant vegetation cover: |  |
| Potential | Moderate potential for <br> enhancement |
| One or more of the functions is <br> impacted or degraded; surface flow <br> ditch is the primary source of water; a <br> local street w/culvert has restricted the |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { flow into the wetland; wetland area is } \\ \text { between 0.5 and 5 acres ins size; less } \\ \text { than } 10 \% \text { of the wetland's edge is } \\ \text { bordered by a vegetative buffer } 25 \text { feet } \\ \text { or more in width. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Education } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Wetland has potential for } \\ \text { educational use. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { The wetland is not open for direct } \\ \text { access, but observation is possible form } \\ \text { a public sidewalk; no visible safety } \\ \text { hazards exist; the wetland does not } \\ \text { meet the criteria for provision of } \\ \text { diverse fish \& wildlife habitat; }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Recreation } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Wetland proivdes recreational } \\ \text { opportunities }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { No access point, trails or boat } \\ \text { launching areas exist; some wildlife } \\ \text { habitat does exist; fishing is not an } \\ \text { applicable activity for this area. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Aesthetic Quality } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Wetland is not considered } \\ \text { pleasing. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { One Cowardin class is visible; over } \\ 50 \% \text { of the wetland is visible from } \\ \text { private property or public right-of-way; }\end{array} \\ \text { Visual detractors exist (highway, } \\ \text { commercial development, RR tracks) } \\ \text { and cannot be easily removed; the } \\ \text { visual character of the surrounding area } \\ \text { has been landscaped or manipulated by } \\ \text { people; Unpleasant odors and audible } \\ \text { noise from automobile traffic on the } \\ \text { highway is present. }\end{array}\right\}$

## Narrative Description of Overall Wetland Functions and Conditions

This small wetland area has been modified by human activity through construction of a railroad track on the south. The water quality, wildlife habitat and hydrology functions are impacted/degraded and fish habitat is not present.

# CITY OF CORNELIUS LOCAL SIGNIFICANT WETLAND (LSW) CRITERIA CHECKLIST 

## Wetland Identification: TD-3

A. "OUT" Test

| Y | N | Wetlands that score "Yes" in any of the following categories do not proceed to Section B. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X | Wetlands artificially created entirely from upland that is: <br> a. created for the purpose of controlling, storing, or maintaining stormwater; <br> b. active surface mining ponds; <br> c. ditches without free and open connection to waters of the state and without fish; <br> d. <1 acre and unintentionally created from inigation leak or construction activity; <br> e. of any size and created for the purpose of wastewater treatment, shock watering, settling of sediment, cooling industrial water, or as a golf course hazard. |
|  | X | Documented as being contaminated by hazardous substances, materials or wastes ("Hazmat sites"). |

B. "IN" Test

| Y | N | Wetlands that meet ONE OR MORE of the following criteria are LSWs. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | X | Wetlands that score the highest rank (stated in italics below) for any of the four ecological functions <br> addressed by OFWAM or equivalent methodology: |
| diverse wildlife habitat <br> intact fish habitat <br> intact water quality <br> intact hydrologic control |  |  |
|  | X | Wetlands that are rated in the second highest functional category for water quality (called impacted or <br> degraded in OFWAM), AND that occur within $1 / 4$ mile of a water quality-limited stream listed by <br> DEQ. |
|  | Contain one or more rare/uncommon wetland plant communities in Oregon. (most concise list is found <br> as Appendix G in OFWAM) |  |
|  | Inhabited by any species listed by the federal or state government as a sensitive, threatened or <br> endangered species in Oregon (unless consultation w/appropriate agency deems the site not important <br> for the maintenance of the species). |  |
| X | Wetland rates in the second highest functional category for fish habitat (called impacted or degraded in <br> OFWAM), and has a surface water connection to stream segment that is mapped by ODFW as habitat <br> for "indigenous anadromous salmoids". |  |
| X | OPTIONAL CRITERION (at discretion of local government): Wetland represents a LOCALLY unique <br> plant community. |  |
| X | OPTIONAL CRITERION (at discretion of local govemment): Wetland rates highest rank for education <br> potential and there is documented use for educational purposes by a school or organization |  |



